

THE STRUCTURE OF THE W^* -TENSOR PRODUCT OVER A W^* -SUBALGEBRA AND ITS PREDUAL (σ -FINITE CASE)

FRANCESCO FIDALEO

ABSTRACT. Let M , N , R be W^* -algebras, with R unittally embedded in both M and N . by using Reduction Theory, we extend the previous description of the W^* -tensor product $M\overline{\otimes}_R N$ over the common W^* -subalgebra R and its predual $(M\overline{\otimes}_R N)_*$ to the σ -finite case.

Mathematics Subject Classification: 46L35, 46L07.

Key words: Classifications of C^* -algebras, factors. Operator spaces and completely bounded maps.

1. INTRODUCTION

The structure of W^* -tensor products over common subalgebras was investigated in [17]. The tensor product over subalgebras generalizes the usual W^* -tensor product $M\overline{\otimes}N$, corresponding to the case when R is the field of complex numbers. The main goal of the paper [17] is to prove the generalization of the celebrated Tomita Commutation Theorem to the case of tensor products over subalgebras in the full generality. If properly interpreted, the commutant assumes the form

$$(M\overline{\otimes}_R N)' = M'\overline{\otimes}_{R'} N',$$

and reduces itself to Tomita Commutation Theorem when $R = \mathbb{C}$ acting on $\mathbb{C}$, see [17], Section 5.9.

In the case of W^* -tensor product $M\overline{\otimes}N$, there is an explicit description of the predual as

$$(M\overline{\otimes}N)_* \cong M_* \widehat{\otimes} N_* \tag{1.1}$$

where $\widehat{\otimes}$ denotes the operator-projective tensor product, see [6].¹ Notice that (1.1) has several applications to various fields, see e.g. [4, 8, 9, 18] and the reference cited therein.

¹For standard definitions and results relative to operator space theory, the reader is referred to [5] and the references cited therein.

In the present paper we extend to the σ -finite case, the results of the previous paper [10] relative to the structure of the W^* -tensor product of von Neumann algebras over a common W^* -subalgebra. This is done by using reduction theory. Namely, using the extremal decomposition of KMS states (see e.g. [1]) for C^* -dynamical systems, and the general properties of W^* -tensor products over common subalgebras, we describe the structure of such a tensor product, following the lines adopted in [14]. Also for this situation, R , M , N , and finally $M\overline{\otimes}_R N$ admit a common decomposition over the centre of R . Theorem 1 and Corollary 1 of [10] can be generalized to σ -finite case, and describe the structure of the W^* -tensor product a common W^* -subalgebra, and its predual respectively. These results can be considered as a further step towards the fully understand of the general case.

2. ON THE TENSOR PRODUCT OVER SUBALGEBRAS

We start with the definition of the tensor product over a subalgebra, as well as some preliminary properties already considered in [17].

Definition 1. Let $I_A \in R \subset M \subset A$, $I_A \in R \subset N \subset A$ be inclusions of von Neumann algebras. The W^* -algebra A is said to be the W^* -tensor product of M and N over their common W^* -subalgebra R if

- (i) $A = M \vee N$,
- (ii) for some normal representation π of A ,

$$\pi(M) \subset \pi(R) \vee M_1, \quad \pi(N) \subset \pi(R) \vee N_1$$

for commuting type I von Neumann subalgebras $M_1, N_1 \subset \pi(R)'$ whose common centre Z coincides with that of R .

In the situation described by Definition 1, we write $A = M\overline{\otimes}_R N$ and identify $\pi(A)$ with A itself. Any such a representation as that in Definition 1 is said to be a *splitting* one. Further, the type I von Neumann algebras M_1, N_1 given in (ii) can be chosen to be homogeneous, see [10], Proposition 1. Then, we can start without loss of generality, by the following inclusions of von Neumann algebras

$$\begin{aligned} I_1 \otimes R &\subset M \subset B(H_1)\overline{\otimes} R, \\ R \otimes I_2 &\subset N \subset R\overline{\otimes} B(H_2), \end{aligned} \tag{2.1}$$

where R is acting on $B(H)$. The W^* -tensor product of M and N over R is described with a slightly abuse of notation, by

$$M\overline{\otimes}_R N = (M \otimes I_2) \vee (I_1 \otimes N). \tag{2.2}$$

Further,

$$I_1 \otimes Z(R) \subset Z(M), \quad Z(R) \otimes I_2 \subset Z(N).$$

From now on, we suppose that A is σ -finite if it is not otherwise specified.

We construct normal faithful conditional expectations which are useful in the sequel.²

Proposition 1. *There are normal faithful conditional expectations*

$$\varepsilon_1 : A \mapsto M, \quad \varepsilon_2 : A \mapsto N.$$

Furthermore,

$$\varepsilon_1 \circ \varepsilon_2 = \varepsilon_2 \circ \varepsilon_1. \quad (2.3)$$

Proof. Consider for $\varphi \in L^1(H_2)_{+,1}$, the slice map of $B(H_1) \overline{\otimes} R \overline{\otimes} B(H_2)$ onto $B(H_1) \overline{\otimes} R$,

$$F_\varphi^1(x \otimes y) = \varphi(y)x \otimes I_2,$$

together with its restriction $E_\varphi^1 := F_\varphi^1|_A$. The set $\{E_\varphi^1 \mid \varphi \in L^1(H_2)_{+,1}\}$ is a separating family of conditional expectations of A onto M . As A is σ -finite, there exists a denumerable maximal family $\{E_{\varphi_k}^1\}$ with mutually orthogonal support-projections (see [15], 11.5). Define

$$\varepsilon_1 := \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \frac{1}{2^k} E_{\varphi_k}^1,$$

which is the searched conditional expectation. Starting from

$$F_\psi^2(x \otimes y) = \psi(x)I_1 \otimes y,$$

construct $\varepsilon_2 : A \mapsto N$ by a denumerable maximal family $\{E_{\psi_k}^2\}$ with mutually orthogonal support-projections, where $\psi_k \in L^1(H_1)_{+,1}$. We have, for $a \in A$,

$$\begin{aligned} E_\varphi^1(E_\psi^2(a)) &\equiv F_\varphi^1(F_\psi^2(a)) \\ &= F_\psi^2(F_\varphi^1(a)) \equiv E_\psi^2(E_\varphi^1(a)), \end{aligned}$$

which leads to the assertion taking into account (2.3) for ε_1 , and the analogous one for ε_2 . $\square$

Define

$$\epsilon := \varepsilon_1 \circ \varepsilon_2, \quad \epsilon_1 := \varepsilon_1|_M, \quad \epsilon_2 := \varepsilon_2|_N. \quad (2.4)$$

Consider the diagram

²We sometimes identify M, N, R with their isomorphic copies $M \otimes I_2, I_1 \otimes N$ and $I_1 \otimes R \otimes I_2$ in $A \equiv M \overline{\otimes}_R N$.

$$\begin{array}{ccc}
M & \xleftarrow{\varepsilon_1} & M \overline{\otimes}_R N \\
\downarrow \epsilon_1 & \swarrow \epsilon & \downarrow \varepsilon_2 \\
R & \xleftarrow{\epsilon_2} & N
\end{array}$$

(2.5)

where ε_j , $j = 1, 2$ are given in Proposition 1, and ϵ , ϵ_j , $j = 1, 2$ are given in (2.4).

Corollary 1. *The above diagram gives rise to a commuting square of normal faithful conditional expectations.*³

Proof. The proof immediately follows by (2.3) as $M \otimes I_2 \bigwedge I_1 \otimes N = I_1 \otimes R \otimes I_2$. $\square$

Now we pass to investigate the standard representation of $M \overline{\otimes}_R N$ for general (non necessarily σ -finite) W^* -algebras.

By applying the considerations in the beginning of Section 6 of [17], we can describe $M \overline{\otimes}_R N$ in the following way. Put

$$\tilde{M} := M \bigwedge B(H_1) \overline{\otimes} Z, \quad \tilde{N} := N \bigwedge Z \overline{\otimes} B(H_2).$$

Then,

$$M \overline{\otimes}_R N = \tilde{M} \otimes I_2 \bigvee R \bigvee I_1 \otimes \tilde{N} \equiv \tilde{M} \overline{\otimes}_Z R \overline{\otimes}_Z \tilde{N}.$$

Theorem 1. *The standard representation of the W^* -tensor product over a W^* -subalgebra is a splitting representation.*

Proof. After taking a possible ampliation, we can suppose that R is acting on $L^2(Z) \otimes H$,⁴ and the standard representations of $\tilde{M}$, $\tilde{N}$ and R can be obtained by induction on the Hilbert spaces $H_1 \otimes L^2(Z)$,

³For the definition of a commuting square of conditional expectations see e.g. [11].

⁴In the general commutative case, representing Z by the GNS representation relative to a normal faithful weight φ ([17], Section 10.14), we have $Z \sim L^\infty(\Gamma_\varphi, \mu_\varphi)$ where μ_φ is a positive Radon measure on the locally compact dense subspace Γ_φ of the spectrum Ω of M , see [19], Theorem III.1.18. Hence, $L^2(Z) \cong L^2(\Gamma_\varphi, \mu_\varphi)$.

$L^2(Z) \otimes H_2$, $L^2(Z) \otimes H$ where they are naturally acting. Let $e_1 \in \tilde{M}'$, $e_2 \in \tilde{N}'$, $e \in R'$ be the corresponding selfadjoint projections. Put

$$E := (e_1 \otimes I \otimes I_2)(I_1 \otimes e \otimes I_2)(I_1 \otimes I \otimes e_2).$$

Due to Theorem 4.7, the operator defined above is a selfadjoint projection. Furthermore, $e_1 \in B(H_1) \overline{\otimes} Z$, $e_2 \in Z \overline{\otimes} B(H_2)$.

The proof follows as $(M \overline{\otimes}_R N)E$ is the standard representation of $M \overline{\otimes}_R N$ acting on $E(H_1 \otimes L^2(Z) \otimes H \otimes H_2)$, and the ampliations–inductions $(e_1(B(H_1) \overline{\otimes} Z)e_1 \otimes I \otimes I_2)E$, $(I_1 \otimes I \otimes e_2(Z \overline{\otimes} B(H_2))e_2)E$ of the reduced algebras $e_1(B(H_1) \overline{\otimes} Z)e_1$, $e_2(Z \overline{\otimes} B(H_2))e_2$ are the splitting type I algebras appearing in Definition 1 $\square$

We end by noticing that the standard representation is not homogeneous in general.

3. ON THE DECOMPOSITION OF VON NEUMANN ALGEBRAS ARISING FROM LEFT HILBERT ALGEBRAS AND THEIR PREDUAL

Let $\{\mathfrak{A}_\xi\}_{\xi \in \Omega}$ be a field of left Hilbert algebras defined on the finite measure space (Ω, μ) . We suppose that such a field of left Hilbert algebras satisfies Conditions (1.1)–(1.5) listed in [14]. In this situation, the field $\{\mathfrak{A}_\xi\}_{\xi \in \Omega}$ is said to be *integrable*. This is the case arising from left Hilbert algebras associated to GNS representations of KMS states for C^* –dynamical systems. To the field mentioned above, there is associated a Hilbert space $\mathcal{H}$ which is the direct integral of an integrable field $\{\mathcal{H}_\xi\}_{\xi \in \Omega}$ of Hilbert spaces, see e.g. [21].

Let $\mathcal{L}(\mathfrak{A})$ be the associated left von Neumann algebra together with the corresponding field $\{\mathcal{L}(\mathfrak{A}_\xi)\}_{\xi \in \Omega}$ of left von Neumann algebras. Consider a measurable vector field $\xi \mapsto T(\xi)$ such that $T(\xi) \in \mathcal{L}(\mathfrak{A}_\xi)'$ almost surely. It is readily seen that

$$T := \int_X^\oplus T(\xi) \, d\mu(\xi) \tag{3.1}$$

defines an element of $\mathcal{L}(\mathfrak{A})'$. Conversely, any $T \in \mathcal{L}(\mathfrak{A})'$ admits a (essentially unique) decomposition as above. Indeed, denote by $\mathfrak{Z}$ the algebra consisting of all diagonal operators on $\mathcal{H}$ (see e.g. [19, 20]). Any such T is decomposable as $T \in \mathfrak{Z}'$, see Theorem 1.7 of [21], and Proposition 2.1 of [20]. Define $\Theta := JTJ \in \mathcal{L}(\mathfrak{A})$, where J is the canonical conjugation associated to $\mathfrak{A}$ (see e.g. [16], Section 10.1). By Proposition 1.3 and Theorem 1.4 of [14], Θ admits a unique natural

decomposition $\xi \mapsto \Theta(\xi)$.⁵ Taking into account (1.4) of [14], $\xi \mapsto J(\xi)\Theta(\xi)J(\xi)$ provides a natural decomposition of T as in (3.1), which is essentially unique.

Now we pass to the description of the predual $\mathcal{L}(\mathfrak{A})_*$ of $\mathcal{L}(\mathfrak{A})$ in the situation described above. This description parallels the analogous one concerning the separable situation (see e.g. [19], Section IV. 8), taking into account of appropriate changes.

Consider the subfield of $\prod_{\xi \in X} \mathcal{L}(\mathfrak{A}_\xi)_*$ consisting of elements $\xi \mapsto \varphi(\xi)$

such that

- (i) the map $\xi \mapsto \varphi(\xi)(T(\xi))$ is measurable for each $T \in \mathcal{L}(\mathfrak{A})$,
 $T = \int_X^{\oplus} T(\xi) d\mu(\xi)$ being the natural decomposition of T ;
- (ii) there exists an element $c_\varphi \in L^1(X, \mu)_+$ such that $\|\varphi(\xi)\| \leq c_\varphi(\xi)$ almost everywhere.⁶

Proposition 2. *There is a one-to-one correspondence between elements $\varphi \in \mathcal{L}(\mathfrak{A})_*$ and elements $\xi \in X \mapsto \varphi(\xi) \in \mathcal{L}(\mathfrak{A}_\xi)_*$ satisfying (i), (ii) above.*

Proof. Let $\xi \mapsto \varphi(\xi)$ be a measurable field of functional as above. Define

$$\varphi(T) := \int_X \varphi(\xi)(T(\xi)) d\mu(\xi),$$

which is well defined by Proposition 1.3 of [14]. We get

$$|\varphi(\xi)(T(\xi))| \leq \|\varphi(\xi)\| \|T\| \leq c_\varphi(\xi) \|T\|,$$

which means

$$|\varphi(T)| \leq \int_X |\varphi(\xi)(T(\xi))| d\mu(\xi) \leq \left(\int_X c_\varphi(\xi) d\mu(\xi) \right) \|T\|,$$

that is $\|\varphi\| \leq \|c_\varphi\|$. It is readily seen by Dominated Convergence Theorem, that φ is normal. Moreover, by considering the polar decomposition of normal functionals (see e.g. Theorem 5.16 of [16]), and Theorem 1.4 of [14], if φ is the null functional, then $\varphi(\xi) = 0$ almost surely. The construction of a field of functional $\xi \mapsto \varphi(\xi)$ as above, starting from $\varphi \in \mathcal{L}(\mathfrak{A})_*$ follows the same line of the analogous construction in the separable situation, see [19], Proposition IV. 8. 34. $\square$

⁵A natural decomposition for $T \in \mathcal{L}(\mathfrak{A})$ (resp $T \in \mathcal{L}(\mathfrak{A})'$) is a decomposition $\xi \mapsto T(\xi)$ of T such that $T(\xi) \in \mathcal{L}(\mathfrak{A}_\xi)$ (resp $T(\xi) \in \mathcal{L}(\mathfrak{A}_\xi)'$) almost surely, see [14], Definition 1.2.

⁶Notice that we cannot conclude, in non separable cases, that $\xi \mapsto \|\varphi(\xi)\|$ is measurable.

Summarizing, we have the following terminology. Define

$$\begin{aligned} M &:= \mathcal{L}(\mathfrak{A}), & M(\xi) &:= \mathcal{L}(\mathfrak{A}_\xi), & \xi &\in X \\ M' &:= \mathcal{L}(\mathfrak{A})', & M(\xi)' &:= \mathcal{L}(\mathfrak{A}_\xi)', & \xi &\in X \\ M_* &:= \mathcal{L}(\mathfrak{A})_*, & M(\xi)_* &:= \mathcal{L}(\mathfrak{A}_\xi)_*, & \xi &\in X. \end{aligned}$$

We write

$$\begin{aligned} M &= \int_X^\oplus M(\xi) \, d\mu(\xi), \\ M' &= \int_X^\oplus M(\xi)' \, d\mu(\xi), \\ M_* &= \int_X^\oplus M(\xi)_* \, d\mu(\xi). \end{aligned}$$

4. THE STRUCTURE OF THE TENSOR PRODUCT OVER A SUBALGEBRA AND ITS PREDUAL

We proved in Theorem 1, that the standard representation is a splitting one. In general, it is non homogeneous. We start by recalling the structure of such a standard representation of $A \equiv M \overline{\otimes}_R N$.

Let I, J be the sets of cardinalities appearing in the decomposition in homogeneous parts ([19], Theorem V.1.27) of M_1, N_1 respectively appearing in the standard representation of A . We have

$$H = \bigoplus_{\alpha, \beta} H_\alpha \otimes H_{\alpha, \beta} \otimes K_\beta$$

where $\dim(H_\alpha) = \alpha, \dim(K_\beta) = \beta$. Accordingly,

$$\begin{aligned} R &= \bigoplus_{\alpha, \beta} I_{H_\alpha} \otimes R_{\alpha, \beta} \otimes I_{K_\beta}, & Z &= \bigoplus_{\alpha, \beta} I_{H_\alpha} \otimes Z_{\alpha, \beta} \otimes I_{K_\beta}, \\ M &= \bigoplus_{\alpha, \beta} M_{\alpha, \beta} \otimes I_{K_\beta}, & N &= \bigoplus_{\alpha, \beta} I_{H_\alpha} \otimes N_{\alpha, \beta}, \\ M_1 &= \bigoplus_{\alpha, \beta} \mathcal{B}(H_\alpha) \overline{\otimes} Z_{\alpha, \beta} \otimes I_{K_\beta}, & N_1 &= \bigoplus_{\alpha, \beta} I_{H_\alpha} \otimes Z_{\alpha, \beta} \overline{\otimes} \mathcal{B}(K_\beta). \end{aligned} \tag{4.1}$$

Looking at any single summand, we have for every $\alpha \in I, \beta \in J$,

$$\begin{aligned} I_{H_\alpha} \otimes R_{\alpha, \beta} &\subset M_{\alpha, \beta} \subset \mathcal{B}(H_\alpha) \overline{\otimes} R_{\alpha, \beta}, \\ R_{\alpha, \beta} \otimes I_{K_\beta} &\subset N_{\alpha, \beta} \subset R_{\alpha, \beta} \overline{\otimes} \mathcal{B}(K_\beta). \end{aligned}$$

As A is σ -finite, we have that I and J are finite or countable sets. In this situation

$$M\overline{\otimes}_R N = \bigoplus_{\alpha,\beta} (M_{\alpha,\beta} \otimes I_{K_\beta}) \bigvee (I_{H_\alpha} \otimes N_{\alpha,\beta}). \quad (4.2)$$

Let now $N \subset M$ be an inclusion of σ -finite von Neumann algebras such that there exists a normal faithful conditional expectation $E : M \mapsto N$ of M onto N . Pick a normal faithful state φ on N , and consider $\psi := \varphi \circ E$. Consider the weakly dense C^* -subalgebra $\mathcal{M}$ (resp. $\mathcal{N}$) made of elements $T \in M$ (resp. $T \in N$) such that the function $\tau \mapsto \sigma_t^\psi(T)$ (resp. $\tau \mapsto \sigma_t^\varphi(T)$) is continuous w.r.t. the norm topology.

Lemma 1. *We have $\mathcal{N} = \mathcal{M} \cap N$ and $E|_{\mathcal{M}}$ is a conditional expectation of $\mathcal{M}$ onto $\mathcal{N}$.*

Proof. By Takesaki Theorem (see e.g. [15], Section 10), it is enough to show that $E(\mathcal{M}) \subset \mathcal{N}$. Let M be directly represented in standard form on $\mathcal{H}$, such that the standard vector $\Psi \in \mathcal{H}$ gives rise the state ψ on M . Let Δ be the modular operator relative to Ψ , and $P \in N'$ the projection inducing the standard representation of N on $P\mathcal{H}$. Then P reduces Δ , and we have

$$\begin{aligned} \sigma_t^\varphi(E(T))\Psi &= \sigma_t^\psi(E(T))\Omega = \Delta^{it}PT\Psi \\ &= P\Delta^{it}T\Psi = E(\sigma_t^\psi(T))\Psi, \end{aligned}$$

that is $\sigma_t^\varphi \circ E = E \circ \sigma_t^\psi$. Namely, if T is a regular element of M , $E(T)$ is a regular element of N . $\square$

Fix a normal faithful state ϕ on R , and extend it to all of A by using the conditional expectation ϵ . Taking into account the commuting square given in (2.5), we easily have

$$\phi \circ \epsilon|_M = \phi \circ \epsilon_1, \quad \phi \circ \epsilon|_N = \phi \circ \epsilon_2.$$

Moreover,

$$\begin{aligned} [\mathfrak{A}_{\phi \circ \epsilon}] &= [\mathfrak{M}_{\phi \circ \epsilon_1} \bigcup \mathfrak{N}_{\phi \circ \epsilon_2}] \\ [\mathfrak{R}_\phi] &= [\mathfrak{M}_{\phi \circ \epsilon_1} \bigcap \mathfrak{N}_{\phi \circ \epsilon_2}], \end{aligned} \quad (4.3)$$

where $\mathfrak{A}_{\phi \circ \epsilon}$, $\mathfrak{M}_{\phi \circ \epsilon_1}$, $\mathfrak{N}_{\phi \circ \epsilon_2}$, $\mathfrak{R}_\phi$ are the left Hilbert algebras with (the same) unity relative to the states $\phi \circ \epsilon$, $\phi \circ \epsilon_1$, $\phi \circ \epsilon_2$, ϕ , respectively, $[\cdot]$ denotes the closed generated subspace, and $\mathfrak{A}_{\phi \circ \epsilon}$ is a dense subspace of the Hilbert space of the standard representation of A .

Consider the C^* -subalgebras $\mathcal{A}$, $\mathcal{M}$, $\mathcal{N}$, $\mathcal{R}$ of regular elements of A , M , N , R w.r.t. the modular group.

Proposition 3. *We have*

$$M \overline{\otimes}_R N \equiv \pi_{\phi \circ \epsilon}(\mathcal{A})'' = (\pi_{\phi \circ \epsilon_1}(\mathcal{M})'') \overline{\otimes}_{(\pi_{\phi}(\mathcal{R})'')} (\pi_{\phi \circ \epsilon_2}(\mathcal{N})'').$$

Proof. The assertion immediately follows by Lemma 1, and the previous considerations. $\square$

Now we are ready to describe the structure of the W^* -tensor product over a W^* -subalgebra and its predual. In order to give a more readable description, we treat each homogeneous component separately.⁷

Fix a sequence $\{\phi_{\alpha\beta}\}$ of normal states on $R_{\alpha\beta}$, one for each homogeneous component in (4.1). By restricting ourselves to each homogeneous component, we consider separately $A_{\alpha\beta} := M_{\alpha\beta} \overline{\otimes}_{R_{\alpha\beta}} N_{\alpha\beta}$. In this situation, $A_{\alpha\beta}$ has the form (2.2), for inclusion of algebras as in (2.1). Let $\phi_{\alpha\beta} \circ \epsilon$, $\phi_{\alpha\beta} \circ \epsilon_1$ and $\phi_{\alpha\beta} \circ \epsilon_2$ be the corresponding extensions to $A_{\alpha\beta}$, $M_{\alpha\beta}$ and $N_{\alpha\beta}$ respectively. Consider the C^* -dynamical systems $(\mathcal{A}_{\alpha\beta}, \alpha^{\phi_{\alpha\beta} \circ \epsilon}, \phi_{\alpha\beta} \circ \epsilon)$, $(\mathcal{M}_{\alpha\beta}, \alpha^{\phi_{\alpha\beta} \circ \epsilon_1}, \phi_{\alpha\beta} \circ \epsilon_1)$, $(\mathcal{N}_{\alpha\beta}, \alpha^{\phi_{\alpha\beta} \circ \epsilon_2}, \phi_{\alpha\beta} \circ \epsilon_2)$, $(\mathcal{R}_{\alpha\beta}, \alpha^{\phi_{\alpha\beta}}, \phi_{\alpha\beta})$, where the $\alpha^\#$ are the restriction of the modular groups $\sigma^\#$ to the corresponding regular elements. Consider the extremal decomposition of the $\alpha^{\phi_{\alpha\beta}}$ -KMS state $\phi_{\alpha\beta}$. Let $\mu_{\alpha\beta}$ be the maximal measure on the compact convex set $K(\mathcal{R}_{\alpha\beta}, \alpha^{\phi_{\alpha\beta}})$ corresponding to $\phi_{\alpha\beta}$, $K(\mathcal{R}_{\alpha\beta}, \alpha^{\phi_{\alpha\beta}})$ denoting all $\alpha^{\phi_{\alpha\beta}}$ -KMS states on $\mathcal{R}_{\alpha\beta}$. It is well known that $\mu_{\alpha\beta}$ coincides with the central measure of $\phi_{\alpha\beta}$ on $K(\mathcal{R}_{\alpha\beta}, \alpha^{\phi_{\alpha\beta}})$, see e.g. [2]. Moreover, the measure $\mu_{\alpha\beta}$ is pseudo-supported on the extreme point $\partial K(\mathcal{R}_{\alpha\beta}, \alpha^{\phi_{\alpha\beta}})$. Take

$$\Omega_{\alpha\beta} := \partial K(\mathcal{R}_{\alpha\beta}, \alpha^{\phi_{\alpha\beta}}),$$

and define on $M \cap \Omega_{\alpha\beta}$,

$$\tilde{\nu}_{\alpha\beta}(M \cap \Omega_{\alpha\beta}) := \mu_{\alpha\beta}(M)$$

where M is a Baire measurable set of $K(\mathcal{R}_{\alpha\beta}, \alpha^{\phi_{\alpha\beta}})$. Let $\nu_{\alpha\beta}$ be the completion of the probability measure $\tilde{\nu}_{\alpha\beta}$.

Consider the integrable field $\{\mathfrak{R}_\varphi\}_{\varphi \in \Omega_{\alpha\beta}}$ of left Hilbert algebras whose elements have the form

$$\varphi \in \Omega_{\alpha\beta} \mapsto \pi_\varphi(T)\Psi_\varphi, \quad T \in \mathcal{R}_{\alpha\beta},$$

where $(\pi_\varphi, \mathcal{H}_\varphi, \Psi_\varphi)$ is the GNS representation of the modular state φ on $\mathfrak{R}_{\alpha\beta}$.

Let $\{\mathfrak{M}_{\varphi \circ \epsilon_1}\}_{\varphi \in \Omega_{\alpha\beta}}$, $\{\mathfrak{N}_{\varphi \circ \epsilon_2}\}_{\varphi \in \Omega_{\alpha\beta}}$, $\{\mathfrak{A}_{\varphi \circ \epsilon}\}_{\varphi \in \Omega_{\alpha\beta}}$ be the integrable fields of left Hilbert algebras analogously obtained starting from the states

⁷We pursue such a strategy in order to give a more readable description of the structure of $M \overline{\otimes}_R N$ and its predual, see below.

$\varphi \circ \epsilon_1 \in K(\mathcal{M}_{\alpha\beta}, \alpha^{\phi_{\alpha\beta} \circ \epsilon_1})$ $\varphi \circ \epsilon_2 \in K(\mathcal{N}_{\alpha\beta}, \alpha^{\phi_{\alpha\beta} \circ \epsilon_2})$ $\varphi \circ \epsilon \in K(\mathcal{A}_{\alpha\beta}, \alpha^{\phi_{\alpha\beta} \circ \epsilon})$ respectively.⁸

Define

$$\Omega := \overset{\circ}{\bigcup}_{\alpha\beta} \Omega_{\alpha\beta}, \quad \nu := \sum_{\alpha\beta} \nu_{\alpha\beta}, \quad (4.4)$$

where “ $\overset{\circ}{\bigcup}$ ” stands for the disjoint union, and $\nu_{\alpha\beta}$ is understood as a measure on all of Ω with support $\Omega_{\alpha\beta}$.

Proposition 4. *We have for the tensor product,*

$$M \overline{\otimes}_R N = \int_{\Omega}^{\oplus} M(\varphi) \overline{\otimes}_{R(\varphi)} N(\varphi) \, d\nu(\varphi)$$

where $\{R(\varphi)\}_{\varphi \in \Omega}$ is the measurable field relative to the factor decomposition of R , and $\{M(\varphi)\}_{\varphi \in \Omega}$, $\{N(\varphi)\}_{\varphi \in \Omega}$ to the subcentral decomposition of M , N w.r.t. $\bigoplus_{\alpha\beta} I_{\alpha} \otimes Z_{\alpha\beta} \subset Z(M)$, $\bigoplus_{\alpha\beta} Z_{\alpha\beta} \otimes I_{\beta} \subset Z(N)$ respectively.

Proof. We first consider the homogeneous case. Taking into account (4.3) and Proposition 3, we have the inclusions

$$\begin{aligned} I_1 \otimes \mathcal{L}(\mathfrak{R}_{\phi}) &\subset \mathcal{L}(\mathfrak{M}_{\phi \circ \epsilon_1}) \subset B(H_1) \otimes \mathcal{L}(\mathfrak{R}_{\phi}), \\ \mathcal{L}(\mathfrak{R}_{\phi}) \otimes I_2 &\subset \mathcal{L}(\mathfrak{N}_{\phi \circ \epsilon_2}) \subset \mathcal{L}(\mathfrak{R}_{\phi}) \otimes B(H_2), \end{aligned}$$

$$M \overline{\otimes}_R N \equiv \mathcal{L}(\mathfrak{A}_{\phi \circ \epsilon}) = (\mathcal{L}(\mathfrak{M}_{\phi \circ \epsilon_1}) \otimes I_2) \vee (I_1 \otimes \mathcal{L}(\mathfrak{N}_{\phi \circ \epsilon_2})).$$

Taking into account the above considerations together with the structure of the commutant of the left von Neumann algebra of a left Hilbert algebra (see Section 3), we obtain by applying the results of [14] and the Commutator Theorem 5.9 of [17],

$$\begin{aligned} M \overline{\otimes}_R N &\equiv \mathcal{L}(\mathfrak{A}_{\phi \circ \epsilon}) = \int_{\Omega}^{\oplus} \mathcal{L}(\mathfrak{A}_{\varphi \circ \epsilon}) \, d\nu(\varphi) \\ &= \int_{\Omega}^{\oplus} (\mathcal{L}(\mathfrak{M}_{\varphi \circ \epsilon_1}) \otimes I_2) \vee (I_1 \otimes \mathcal{L}(\mathfrak{N}_{\varphi \circ \epsilon_2})) \, d\nu(\varphi) \\ &\equiv \int_{\Omega}^{\oplus} M(\varphi) \overline{\otimes}_{R(\varphi)} N(\varphi) \, d\nu(\varphi). \end{aligned}$$

⁸Notice that the pull-back measures $\epsilon_1^*(\nu_{\alpha\beta})$, $\epsilon_2^*(\nu_{\alpha\beta})$, $\epsilon^*(\nu_{\alpha\beta})$ are precisely the orthogonal measures on $K(\mathcal{M}_{\alpha\beta}, \alpha^{\phi_{\alpha\beta} \circ \epsilon_1})$, $K(\mathcal{N}_{\alpha\beta}, \alpha^{\phi_{\alpha\beta} \circ \epsilon_2})$, $K(\mathcal{A}_{\alpha\beta}, \alpha^{\phi_{\alpha\beta}})$ corresponding to the abelian algebra $Z_{\alpha\beta} \sim I_{\alpha} \otimes Z_{\alpha\beta} \otimes I_{\beta}$ which is a common subalgebra of $Z(M_{\alpha\beta}) \sim Z(M_{\alpha\beta}) \otimes I_{\beta}$, $Z(N_{\alpha\beta}) \sim I_{\alpha} \otimes Z(M_{\alpha\beta})$, $Z(A_{\alpha\beta})$ respectively, see e.g. [1, 2]. They provide the subcentral disintegration of the KMS states $\phi_{\alpha\beta} \circ \epsilon_1$, $\phi_{\alpha\beta} \circ \epsilon_2$, $\phi_{\alpha\beta} \circ \epsilon$ respectively.

Here, $M(\varphi) := \mathcal{L}(\mathfrak{M}_{\varphi \circ \epsilon_1})$, $N(\varphi) := \mathcal{L}(\mathfrak{N}_{\varphi \circ \epsilon_2})$, $R(\varphi) := \mathcal{L}(\mathfrak{R}_\varphi)$. The proof follows summing up all the homogeneous components appearing in the standard representation of $M \overline{\otimes}_R N$. $\square$

We are ready to describe the structure of $M \overline{\otimes}_R N$ and its predual in the σ -finite case.

Theorem 2. *Let $\{M(\varphi)\}_{\varphi \in \Omega}$, $\{N(\varphi)\}_{\varphi \in \Omega}$, $\{R(\varphi)\}_{\varphi \in \Omega}$ be the measurable fields of von Neumann algebras appearing in the decompositions of M , N , R given in Proposition 4, respectively. Define $\{\widetilde{M}(\varphi)\}_{\varphi \in \Omega}$ and $\{\widetilde{N}(\varphi)\}_{\varphi \in \Omega}$ as the measurable fields of von Neumann algebras such that*

$$\begin{aligned} \widetilde{M}(\varphi) \otimes I(\varphi) &= M(\varphi) \bigwedge (B(H_{\alpha\beta}) \otimes I(\varphi)), \\ I(\varphi) \otimes \widetilde{N}(\varphi) &= N(\varphi) \bigwedge (I(\varphi) \otimes B(H_{\alpha\beta})) \end{aligned}$$

whenever $\varphi \in \Omega_{\alpha\beta}$.

Then we have

$$M \overline{\otimes}_R N = \int_{\Omega}^{\oplus} \widetilde{M}(\varphi) \overline{\otimes} R(\varphi) \overline{\otimes} \widetilde{N}(\varphi) \, d\nu(\varphi).$$

Proof. Looking at each fiber, we have by Lemma 1 of [10],

$$M(\varphi) \overline{\otimes}_{R(\varphi)} N(\varphi) = \widetilde{M}(\varphi) \overline{\otimes} R(\varphi) \overline{\otimes}_{R(\varphi)} R(\varphi) \overline{\otimes} \widetilde{N}(\varphi),$$

where the last equality follows as all the $R(\varphi)$ are factors. The proof follows as $R(\varphi) \overline{\otimes}_{R(\varphi)} R(\varphi)$ coincides with $R(\varphi)$. $\square$

As an immediate corollary we have the structure of the predual $(M \overline{\otimes}_R N)_*$, taking into account the description given in Section 3, of the predual of a direct integral of left von Neumann algebras arising from an integrable field of left Hilbert algebras.

Corollary 2. *In the situation of Theorem 2, we have*

$$(M \overline{\otimes}_R N)_* = \int_{\Omega}^{\oplus} \widetilde{M}(\varphi)_* \widehat{\otimes} R(\varphi)_* \widehat{\otimes} \widetilde{N}(\varphi)_* \, d\nu(\varphi) \quad (4.5)$$

where $\widehat{\otimes}$ denotes the operator-projective tensor product between operator spaces given in [6].

Proof. The proof directly follows from Theorem 2, taking into account Theorem 3.2 of [7]. $\square$

REFERENCES

- [1] Alfsen E. M. *Compact convex sets and boundary integral*, Springer, Berlin Heidelberg New York, 1971.
- [2] Bratteli O., Robinson D. W. *Operator algebras and quantum statistical mechanics I*, Springer, Berlin Heidelberg New York, 1981.
- [3] Bratteli O., Robinson D. W. *Operator algebras and quantum statistical mechanics II*, Springer, Berlin Heidelberg New York, 1981.
- [4] Buchholz D., D'Antoni C., Longo R. *Nuclear maps and modular structures II*, Commun. Math. Phys. **129** (1990), 115–138.
- [5] Effros E., Ruan Z.-J. *Operator spaces*, London Math. Soc. Monographs, New Series **29**, Clarendon Press, Oxford University Press (2000).
- [6] Effros E., Ruan Z.-J. *A new approach to operator spaces*, Canadian Math. Bull. **34** (1991), 329–337.
- [7] Effros E., Ruan Z.-J. *On approximation properties for operator spaces*, Internat. J. Math. **1** (1990), 163–187.
- [8] Fidaleo F. *Operator space structures and the split property*, J. Operator Theory **31** (1994), 207–218.
- [9] Fidaleo F. *On the split property for inclusions of W^* -algebras*, Proc. Amer. Math. Soc. **130** (2002), 121–127.
- [10] Fidaleo F. *The predual of W^* -tensor products over W^* -subalgebras (separable case)*, J. Funct. Anal. **209** (2004), 194–205.
- [11] Goodman F. M., de la Harpe P., Jones V. F. R. *Coxeter graphs and towers of algebras*, Springer, Berlin Heidelberg New York, 1989.
- [12] Lance C. *Direct integral of left Hilbert algebras*, Math. Ann. **216** (1975), 11–28.
- [13] Riedel N. *Topological direct integral of left Hilbert algebras I, II*, J. Operator Theory **5** (1981), 29–45, *ibidem* 213–229.
- [14] Riedel N. *Disintegration of KMS states and reduction of standard von Neumann algebras*, Pacific J. Math. **111** (1984), 415–431.
- [15] Strătilă S. *Modular theory in operator algebras*, Abacus press, Tunbridge Wells, Kent, (1981).
- [16] Strătilă S., Zsidó, L. *Lectures on von Neumann algebras*, Abacus press, Tunbridge Wells, Kent, (1979).
- [17] Strătilă S., Zsidó, L. *The commutation theorem for tensor product over von Neumann algebras*, J. Funct. Anal. **165** (1999), 293–246.
- [18] Summers S. J. *On the independence of local algebras in Quantum Field Theory*, Rev. Math. Phys. **2** (1990), 201–247.
- [19] Takesaki M. *Theory of operator algebras I*, Springer, Berlin Heidelberg New York, 1979.
- [20] Vesterstrøm J., Wils W. *Direct integral of Hilbert spaces II*, Math. Scand. **26** (1970), 89–102.
- [21] Wils W. *Direct integral of Hilbert spaces I*, Math. Scand. **26** (1970), 73–88.

FRANCESCO FIDALEO, DIPARTIMENTO DI MATEMATICA, UNIVERSITÀ DI ROMA
 “TOR VERGATA”, VIA DELLA RICERCA SCIENTIFICA 1, 00133 ROMA, ITALY.
E-mail address: fidaleo@axp.mat.uniroma2.it